

**DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

**HELD AT 7.00 P.M. ON WEDNESDAY, 13 OCTOBER 2010**

**DECISIONS ON PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

**1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Carli Harper-Penman (Chair).

**2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Members declared interests in items on the agenda for the meeting as set out below:

<b>Councillor</b>	<b>Item(s)</b>	<b>Type of interest</b>	<b>Reason</b>
Carli Judith Gardiner	7.1	Personal	Had received correspondence from local residents.
Mohammed Abdul Mukit	7.1	Personal	Ward Councillor and had received correspondence from local residents and attended a site visit.
Peter Golds	7.1	Personal	Had received correspondence from local residents and had visited the site previously.
Shelina Aktar	7.1	Personal	Had received correspondence from local residents.
Ann Jackson	7.1	Personal	Had received correspondence from local residents.
Kosru Uddin	7.1	Personal	Had received correspondence from local residents.

**3. UNRESTRICTED MINUTES**

The Committee **RESOLVED**

That the unrestricted minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 14 September 2010 be agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

#### **4. RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Committee **RESOLVED** that:

- 1) In the event of changes being made to recommendations by the Committee, the task of formalising the wording of those changes is delegated to the Corporate Director, Development and Renewal along the broad lines indicated at the meeting; and
- 2) In the event of any changes being needed to the wording of the Committee's decision (such as to delete, vary or add conditions/informatives/planning obligations or reasons for approval/refusal) prior to the decision being issued, the Corporate Director, Development and Renewal is delegated authority to do so, provided always that the Corporate Director does not exceed the substantive nature of the Committee's decision

#### **5. PROCEDURE FOR HEARING OBJECTIONS**

The Committee noted the procedure for hearing objections and those who had registered to speak at the meeting.

#### **6. DEFERRED ITEMS**

There were no deferred items.

#### **7. PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR DECISION**

##### **7.1 Rochelle School, Arnold Circus, London, E2 7ES**

On a vote of 2 for and 4 against, the Committee **RESOLVED**

That the Officer recommendation to grant planning permission for continued use of Rochelle Canteen (use class A3), independent of the Rochelle Centre with ancillary off-site catering operation be **REFUSED** for the following reasons:-

- (1) The proposed use would result in an unacceptable degree of overlooking and loss of privacy to the detriment of the amenity of occupiers of adjacent residential properties, contrary to saved policies DEV2 and HSG15 of the Unitary Development Plan (1998) and Policy DEV1 of the Interim Planning Guidance (2007) which seek to preserve residential amenity.

- (2) The cumulative impact of the noise, disturbance and related activities that would result from these premises would be harmful to the living conditions of adjacent residents and would therefore be contrary to saved policies DEV2, DEV50 and HSG15 of the Unitary Development Plan (1998) and Policy DEV1 of the Interim Planning Guidance (2007) which seek to preserve residential amenity.
- (3) It is considered that the proposal, by reason of its commercial use in a predominantly residential area, would adversely affect the character of the Boundary Estate Conservation Area. As such, the proposal is contrary to Council Policy CON2 of the Interim Planning Guidance (2007) which seeks to ensure new uses are not detrimental to the character, fabric or appearance of conservation areas and their settings.
- (4) The proposal is considered likely to result in additional anti-social behaviour in the area of the Boundary Estate.

**Kevan Collins**  
**CHIEF EXECUTIVE**

(Please note that the wording in this document may not reflect the final wording used in the minutes.)